

REMARKS

Reconsideration is requested.

Claims 1-113 have been canceled, without prejudice.

Claims 114-128 have been added and are pending. Support for the revised claims may be found throughout the specification. No new matter has been added.

Claim 114 refers to TSH (Thyroid-Stimulating Hormone) to correct an inadvertent typographical error. Claim 114 also refers to antibodies elicited against the first glycoprotein binding to the second glycoprotein with an affinity higher than the binding affinity of said antibodies to the first glycoprotein.

The claims are believed to read on the previously-elected subject matter.

The applicants submit that an International Search Report is appropriate to be listed on the face of a U.S. Patent, as evidenced by the face of any of the 55,046 U.S. Patent issued by the U.S. Patent Office since 1976 which contain the phrase "International Search Report" in the "Other References" listing on the face. The following is a listing of the first 50 U.S. Patent Nos. and titles returned in a search of the U.S. Patent Office on-line "Quick " Search for "International Search Report" under Other References.

- | PAT.<br>NO. | Title   |
|-------------|---|
| 1           | <u>7,594,270 T Threat scoring system and method for intrusion detection security networks</u>   |
| 2           | <u>7,594,269 T Platform-based identification of host software circumvention</u>   |
| 3           | <u>7,594,263 T Operating a communication network through use of blocking measures for responding to communication traffic anomalies</u> |
| 4           | <u>7,594,262 T System and method for secure group communications</u>  |
| 5           | <u>7,594,250 T Method and system of program transmission optimization using a redundant</u>   |

transmission sequence

- 6 7,594,236 T Thread to thread communication
- 7 7,594,232 T Intelligent memory device for processing tasks stored in memory or for storing data in said memory
- 8 7,594,227 T Dependency graph parameter scoping
- 9 7,594,224 T Distributed enterprise security system
- 10 7,594,220 T Configuration diagram with context sensitive connectivity
- 11 7,594,196 T Block interstitching using local preferred direction architectures, tools, and apparatus
- 12 7,594,151 T Reverse link power control in an orthogonal system
- 13 7,594,147 T Method and apparatus for recording data on and reproducing data from a recording medium and the recording medium
- 14 7,594,135 T Flash memory system startup operation
- 15 7,594,122 T Method of determining whether a test subject is a specific individual
- 16 7,594,116 T Mediated key exchange between source and target of communication
- 17 7,594,113 T Identification information protection method in WLAN inter-working
- 18 7,594,112 T Delegated administration for a distributed security system
- 19 7,594,097 T Microprocessor output ports and control of instructions provided therefrom
- 20 7,594,094 T Move data facility with optional specifications
- 21 7,594,079 T Data cache virtual hint way prediction, and applications thereof
- 22 7,594,055 T Systems and methods for providing distributed technology independent memory controllers
- 23 7,594,044 T Systems and methods of processing I/O requests in data storage systems
- 24 7,594,018 T Methods and apparatus for providing access to persistent application sessions
- 25 7,594,015 T Grid organization
- 26 7,594,011 T Network traffic monitoring for search popularity analysis
- 27 7,593,999 T Automotive telemetry protocol
- 28 7,593,973 T Method and apparatus for transferring snapshot data
- 29 7,593,965 T System of customizing and presenting internet content to associate advertising therewith
- 30 7,593,955 T Generation of aggregatable dimension information within a multidimensional enterprise software system
- 31 7,593,953 T Table lookup mechanism for address resolution
- 32 7,593,951 T Application programming interface for centralized storage of principal data
- 33 7,593,938 T Systems and methods of directory entry encodings
- 34 7,593,936 T Systems and methods for automated computer support
- 35 7,593,931 T Apparatus, system, and method for performing fast approximate computation of

RONIN et al.  
Appl. No. 10/588,220  
Atty. Ref.: 1487-29  
Amendment  
September 25, 2009

statistics on query expressions

- 36 7,593,918 T Enterprise medical imaging and information management system with enhanced communications capabilities
- 37 7,593,915 T Customized multi-media services
- 38 7,593,909 T Knowledge representation using reflective links for link analysis applications
- 39 7,593,905 T Method of combinatorial multimodal optimisation
- 40 7,593,891 T Credit score simulation
- 41 7,593,889 T System and method for processing data pertaining to financial assets
- 42 7,593,887 T System and method for analyzing and displaying security trade transactions
- 43 7,593,885 T Materials supply contract system and method
- 44 7,593,883 T Financial activity based on tropical weather events
- 45 7,593,879 T System and method for using diversification spreading for risk offset
- 46 7,593,877 T System and method for hybrid spreading for flexible spread participation
- 47 7,593,871 T Multiple price curves and attributes
- 48 7,593,866 T Introducing a fixed-price transaction mechanism in conjunction with an auction transaction mechanism
- 49 7,593,824 T System and method for automation of hardware signal characterization and signal integrity verification
- 50 7,593,822 T Battery monitor

Return of a completely initialed copy of the previously-filed PTO 1449 Form is requested.

The objection to claim 101 is moot in view of the above. The objected-to term has been corrected in the new claims. No new matter has been added

The objection to claim 104 is moot in view of the above. The objected-to term or phrase has been corrected in the new claims.

The objection to claim 105 is moot in view of the above. The objected-to term or phrase has been corrected in the new claims.

The objection to claims 112-113 is moot in view of the above. The objected-to term or phrase has been repeated in the new claims.

RONIN et al.  
Appl. No. 10/588,220  
Atty. Ref.: 1487-29  
Amendment  
September 25, 2009

The Section 112, first paragraph "written description", rejection of claims 101-113 is moot in view of the above. The claims have been revised to obviate the inadvertent typographical error which is the basis of the rejection. The claims are supported by an adequate written description.

The Section 112, second paragraph, rejection of claims 110-113 is moot in view of the above amendments. The claims are submitted to be definite in that the result of preamble of claim 114 is achieved as a part of the claimed process. Moreover, the recitation of the objected-to term has not been repeated in the new claims.

The following Section 103 rejections are moot in view of the above:  
the Section 103 rejection of claims 101-108 and 110-112 over Papandreou (Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology 73:15-26, 1990), Kashiwai (Journal of Immunological Methods 143:25-30, 1991), Schaaf (Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology 132:185-194, 1997) and Szkudlinski (Endocrinology 133(4):1490-1503, 1993);  
the Section 103 rejection of claim 109 over Papandreou, Kashiwai, Schaaf, Szkudlinski and Legaigneur (Journal of Biological Chemistry 276(24):21608-21617, 2001); and

the Section 103 rejection of claim 113 over Papandreou, Kashiwai, Schaaf, Szkudlinski, Zerfaoui (European Journal of Clinical Chemistry Clinical Biochemistry 34:749-753, 1996) and Fionnuala (Molecular Biotechnology 12:203-206, 1999).

The claims are patentable over the cited combinations of art. Consideration of the following in this regard is requested.

The claimed invention describes a process for screening known antibodies specifically recognizing pituitary or blood TSH and being able to specifically recognize, with a better affinity than the affinity for pituitary or blood TSH, at least one specific glycoform of recombinant TSH produced in mammal cells, said specific glycoform being more sialylated and less fucosylated and more or less branched.

To carry out the process according to the invention, the inventors have purified recombinant TSH from mammal cells (which is present in a mixture of many glycoforms) and have modified the glycosylation status of said glycoforms of TSH in order to specifically isolate recombinant TSH which is more sialylated and less fucosylated and more or less branched.

Therefore, a detailed process according to the invention comprises the following steps: (a) a step of checking that the antibodies elicited against the pituitary TSH bind to the recombinant TSH, (b) a step of classifying the antibodies to be screened in pools, each pool being characterized in that two antibodies selected from a same pool can not bind to the same glycoprotein at the same time, (c) a step of determination of the binding between: antibodies directed against pituitary TSH, and at least one glycoform of recombinant TSH, said glycoform of recombinant TSH being obtained by at least one fractionation and or at least one enzymatic modification in order to obtain a glycoform which is more sialylated, less fucosylated and more or less branched than the recombinant TSH, and (d) detecting the interaction between said antibody directed against pituitary TSH and said glycoform of said recombinant TSH.

RONIN et al.  
Appl. No. 10/588,220  
Atty. Ref.: 1487-29  
Amendment  
September 25, 2009

Papandreou *et al.* teach a process for screening glycoform specific antibodies directed against native TSH and deglycosylated, or naturally glycosylated TSH. Papandreou *et al.* teach that modification in the glycosylation pattern of TSH may profoundly modify the interaction with specific antibodies.

Kashiwai *et al.* teach a method for screening antibodies that recognize recombinant TSH produced in CHO cells, and compare the binding of such antibodies with that of native (pituitary) TSH.

Schaaf *et al.* teach a method for producing recombinant TSH in CHO or COS cells, and the glycosylation of the rTSH produced is compared to the glycosylation of native TSH. Moreover, Schaaf *et al.* teach that sialylated and unsialylated TSH produced in CHO have an higher potency to stimulate cAMP, and that unfucosylated TSH are strong stimulator of cAMP release.

Szkudlinski *et al.* teach a method for producing recombinant TSH in CHO cells to overcome the difficulty of the native TSH purification. Moreover, Szkudlinski *et al.* teach that recombinant TSH is more sialylated than native pituitary TSH which is sulphated. This high degree of sialylation appears to be correlated with longer half life of TSH.

Papandreou *et al.* do not teach or suggest a process for screening specific TSH antibodies able to recognize recombinant TSH having a glycosylation pattern as follows: more sialylated, more branched and less fucosylated.

Therefore, from the teaching of Papandreou *et al.*, an ordinarily skilled person would not have been led to make a process for screening antibodies interacting with

native TSH that are able to specifically interact with glycoform of a recombinant TSH, with an affinity higher than the affinity for native TSH, as claimed.

Moreover, as mentioned in page 27, second column, paragraph "Binding activity to each epitope", Kashiwai *et al.* teach that the binding activities of rhTSH at  $\alpha$ -1 epitope were lower than that of the reference hTSH, although their activities at other epitopes were almost identical. Further, in page 28, first column, paragraph "Immunoassay", Kashiwai *et al.* teach that the recognition by four commonly used kits for hTSH were regarded "virtually identical" for rhTSH and native TSH.

Schaaf *et al.* also teach in page 187, first column, in the "Immunometric assays" paragraph, that there is a possibility that the antibodies do not recognize all TSH isoforms. Therefore, Schaaf *et al.* suggest that the antibodies used, i.e. commercial antibodies used in TSH sandwich ELISA, can possibly be inefficient for recognizing glycoform of recombinant TSH.

Moreover Papandreou *et al.* teach that TSH  $\beta$  subunit, which is the specific subunit of TSH, harbour most of the glycosylation dependant epitopes, and also teach that deglycosylation of TSH drastically modify the immunoreactivity of antibodies directed against TSH, conducting to a loss of antibody binding.

Finally, Szkudlinski *et al.* do not mention or suggest that glycoform of recombinant TSH have a better affinity for the antibodies directed against pituitary TSH.

It was known at the date of the invention that recombinant TSH which is hypersialylated has an affinity for antibodies which is lower compared affinity for pituitary TSH (see for instance, the abstract of the attached Thotakura *et al.*

RONIN et al.  
Appl. No. 10/588,220  
Atny. Ref.: 1487-29  
Amendment  
September 25, 2009

Endocrinology. 1991 Jan;128(1):341-8). It was also known at the filing date that commercial antibodies (i.e. known antibodies) directed against TSH are not sensitive to changes in carbohydrate structure of TSH, i.e., said commercial antibodies recognize both recombinant and pituitary TSH with the same affinity. (see for instance, the attached abstract of Szkudlinski *et al.* PNAS. 1995 Sept; vol 92 :9062-9066).

Consequently, from all the above, at the time of the invention, the ordinarily skilled person would not have been led from a combination of the cited art to have made the claimed invention to screen antibodies directed against pituitary TSH to select antibodies having a better affinity for glycoform of recombinant TSH, since for example it was not appreciated that recTSH was hyperreactive to antibodies.

The ordinarily skilled person would not have been motivated by the cited art to have screened known antibodies, as claimed, since at least three of the cited documents (Papandreou *et al.*, Kashiwai *et al.* and Schaaf *et al.*) teach that the antibodies directed against pituitary TSH are less efficient, or have at most an equivalent efficiency, for glycoform of recombinant TSH.

Since Thotakura *et al.* teach that "The immunologic activity and porcine TSH receptor-binding activity of the preparation of recombinant hTSH were 3- to 4-fold lower than those of a standard pituitary hTSH" (see abstract), the ordinarily skilled person would not have been led to modify recombinant TSH, in particular by adding sialic acid with  $\alpha$  sialyltransferase, to screen antibodies for a better affinity in binding such recombinant TSH.

RONIN et al.  
Appl. No. 10/588,220  
Atty. Ref.: 1487-29  
Amendment  
September 25, 2009

Szkudlinski et al. and Schaaf et al. teach methods for purifying, or isolating, specific glycoform of recombinant TSH, using lectin fractionation or enzymatic modifications.

However, since Papandreou et al., Kashiwai et al. and Schaaf et al., and also Thotakura et al., teach that it is not expected to obtain antibodies having a better affinity for glycoform of recombinant TSH compared to the affinity for pituitary TSH, the ordinarily skilled person would not have been led by the art of record to have made the claimed invention.

Therefore, the teaching of Papandreou et al., in view of Szkudlinski et al., Kashiwai et al. and Schaaf et al., also in view of Thotakura et al., would have taught away from the claimed process.

The Federal Circuit has explained that

"A reference may be said teach away when a person of ordinary skill, upon the reading reference, would be discouraged from following the path set out in the reference, or would be led in direction divergent from the path that was taken by the applicant". See In re Gurley 31 USPQ2d 1130 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The teaching of Papandreou et al. in view of Kashiwai et al., Schaaf et al. and Szkudlinski et al. would have discouraged the ordinarily skilled person from making a screening process, as claimed.

The claims would not have been obvious in view of the cited art.

Legaigneur et al. teach a truncated ST6Gall sialyltransferase of its first N-terminus amino acids up to 100. Legaigneur et al. teach that such fusion has an increased sialyltransferase activity until 80 amino acids are deleted.

RONIN et al.  
Appl. No. 10/588,220  
Atty. Ref.: 1487-29  
Amendment  
September 25, 2009

The Examiner is understood to believe that it allegedly would have been obvious to have replaced the sialyltransferase taught by Szkudlinski *et al.* by the truncated sialyltransferase taught by Legaigneur *et al.*

The applicants submit however that since the ordinarily skilled person would have been discouraged from screening antibodies having a better affinity for glycoform of recombinant TSH compared to the affinity for pituitary TSH, as explained above, the ordinarily skilled person would not have been motivated to use the shortened ST6Gall sialyltransferase in a process of the claimed invention. Legaigneur *et al.* fails to cure the deficiencies noted above with regard to the art of record.

The applicants note in this regard that Thotakura *et al.*, teach that the oversialylated recombinant TSH has an immuno reactivity reduced compared to the immunoreactivity of pituitary TSH.

The claimed invention would not have been obvious over the cited art.

Zerfaoui *et al.* teach enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for comparing recognition of pituitary TSH and recombinant TSH before and after desialylation.

Fionnuala *et al.* teach a sandwich assay using antibody directed against a glycoprotein and a lectin recognising specific glycoform.

The disclosures of Zerfaoui *et al.* and Fionnuala *et al.* fail to cure the deficiencies of the above noted art of record.

The Examiner is understood to believe that it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill to have made a process for screening antibodies elicited against pituitary TSH to obtain antibodies directed against glycoform of recombinant TSH by

RONIN et al.  
Appl. No. 10/588,220  
Atty. Ref.: 1487-29  
Amendment  
September 25, 2009

adapting the assay proposed by Fionnuala *et al.* and compare affinity of said antibodies before and after desialylation as taught by Zerfaoui *et al.*.

As discussed above, the applicants believe that the art of record teaches away from the claimed invention.

Moreover, Zerfaoui *et al.* teach a comparison of antibodies binding before and after desialylation of TSH. Zerfaoui *et al.*, in figures 3 and 4, page 752, teach that depending on the antibody used, the recognition of asialo pituitary and recombinant TSH is equal or less efficient than the recognition of the calibrant (pituitary TSH international Standard).

Thus, from the teaching of Zerfaoui *et al.*, the ordinarily skilled person would not have been motivated to have modified the sialyl content of recombinant TSH to screen antibodies, since modification (i.e. desialylation) has been widely shown to decrease antibody reactivity. Further, Fionnuala *et al.* teach a sandwich assay using antibody directed against a glycoprotein and a lectin recognising specific glycoform. Fionnuala *et al.* teach, on page 203 in the second paragraph of the introduction, that "we used this principle (i.e. principle of figure 1) to develop a method for the measurement of asialo- $\alpha$ 1-chymotrypsin ...". Moreover, Fionnuala *et al.* teach that for the implementation of the antibody-lectin assay, it is essential to desialylate the glycoprotein used as standard.

The ordinarily skilled person adapting the Fionnuala *et al.* assay to develop an assay using two antibodies would have been led to desialylate a calibrant protein (first glycoprotein). However, as taught by Zerfaoui *et al.* for instance, such modification will decrease the binding capacity of the screened antibodies. The applicants believe

RONIN et al.  
Appl. No. 10/588,220  
Atty. Ref.: 1487-29  
Amendment  
September 25, 2009

therefore that the combination of Fionnuala *et al.* and Zerfaoui *et al.* would have also taught away from the claimed invention..

The claimed invention would not have been obvious in view of the art of record.

The claims are submitted to be in condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect is requested. The Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned, preferably by telephone, in the event anything further is required.

Respectfully submitted,

**NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.**

By: \_\_\_\_\_ /B. J. Sadoff/  
B. J. Sadoff  
Reg. No. 36,663

BJS:  
901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor  
Arlington, VA 22203-1808  
Telephone: (703) 816-4000  
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100